(2)

CF/1007

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. (S) 4025 /2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Shashikala Moses, wife of Shri Virendra Kumar Moses, aged about 52 years, presently working &posted as Asstteacher, at Primary School Lakholigram Dist. Rajnandgaon, R/o Baherabhata, Gandai, Chhuikhadan, Dist Rrajnaandgaon (C.G.)

P.R. No. 4082/06 Counch Copy
Presented on 28/2/06
dated

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Public Instructions, Pension Bada, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Collector, Distt. Rajnandgaon (Chhattisgarh)
4. The District Education Officer, Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)
5. Ku laxmi Kunjam, Asst Teacher, Primary School, Baherabhata,

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-2073/96
28/2/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED COPY
28/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(3)

Gandai, Chhuikhadan, Distt. Rrajnaandgaon (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..WPRN..4625.. सन् 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

10-08-2006

Shri Bhaskar Payashi, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents No.1 to 4, on advance copy.

Heard.

By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the order dated 7-7-2006 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Primary School Lakholigram, Rajnandgaon to Primary School Baherabhata, Gandai, District Rajnandgaon.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Assistant Teacher in the Primary School Lakholigram since 1994. The petitioner and her husband are suffering from diabetes as well as high blood pressure for about 12 years. The petitioner has already made a representation but nothing has been heard so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to the respondent No.1 and he be directed to decide the same, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable. Therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with a copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the representation within a period of 30 days

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

from the date of receipt of the representation, after considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law.

With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.3128/2006 and I.A. No.7540/2006 also stand disposed of.

C.C. as per Rules.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Barve

P.T.O.

11.8.06

14.8.06